

Appendix: S
Public Comment Summary 2022-2024

Comment Received by:	Name	Option(s) Chosen	Comment Summary	Action Taken
Email	Not Provided	C 7.3m	Prefers the 7.m Bridge Option	7.9m Two-Lane Bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway was approved
Email	Chandler Swain	B 5.8m	Prefers wider single-lane bridge, to ensure safety is maintained, increased signage, slower speeds, other concerns on Mississippi mills streets in blakeney	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended.
Email	Diana Jackson	B 5.8m	pedestrian access, request for Mississippi Mills to install sidewalks, Reduced speed limits, hidden entrance signage for houses close to the bridge	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended. Mississippi Mills will needs to address the
Email	Cory Smith	B and C	Less delayed EA process will ease the consturciton of a 2-lane bridge. Traffic calming measures should be installed, option B would also provide pedestrian access and wider accomodation for agricultural equipment.	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended.
Email	Matthew Ayling	B 5.8m	Single lane 5.8m bridge provides cost ssavings while also increasing safety for pedestrians, Lower local speed limits to reduce speeding throught the hamlet of blakeney.	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended.
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Not Provided	B and C	Two-Lane bridge is the best option however They realize cost is a major factor, Option B is good aswell. Looking for an accessible lane for pedestrians and snowmobiles would be awesome approaches to park entrance is also a	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended.
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Bill Duncan	C 7.3m	2022 No-till planters 18.5ft folded width without spike closing and drag chains. Combines with duals all around and spacer plates 19ft when will anything smaller than 2 -lanes become obsolete	The 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should provide adequate width to safely transport modern equipment over the bridge.
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Christian Riera	B 5.8m	Single lane at 5.8m for me please, enough room for pedestrians & large equipment yet keeps speed down	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended.
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Kathleen Teahen	B 5.8m	By increasing the bridge size greater than 5.8m will be too disruptive to the blakeney community. The increase in traffic will be dangerous to the residents due to the size volume and speed of the traffic through the village	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended.
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Heather Atkinson	A 5.5m	Single lane including space for pedestrians will keep our village a village	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended.
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Robin Hall	A and B	Any Single Lane, whatever farmers need. Don't want to encourage additional traffic through Blakeney. It should be throughlane like the charm and slow pace of life that the single lane provides. Often walkers and fishermen on the bridge,	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended. Traffic volume shouldn't increase due to a
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Linda Berg	B 5.8m	I believe that the 5.8m bridge meets the requirements for the village traffic. We need to keep a single lane bridge in order to control speed	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended. Traffic volume shouldn't increase due to a
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Not Provided	B 5.8m	Safety Issue present with the two lane option traffic management concerns	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended. Traffic volume shouldn't increase due to a
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Not Provided	B 5.8m	I like the extra width of option B, both for pedestrian traffic and the passage of large farm equipment. The main concern is the control of car traffic speed through the hamlet of blakeney, a single lane bridge would slow down somewhat. More	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended. Traffic volume shouldn't increase due to a
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Not Provided	B and C	Bridge needs to accommodate farm machinery with clear sightlines. construction and large trucks should be barred from using the bridge	7.9m Two-Lane Bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway was approved
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Not Provided	B 5.8m	I am certainly sympathetic to the need for local farmers to be able to get equipment across the bridge. However, the traffic problems with speed in the village would be increased exponentially with a two-lane bridge. I do not believe two	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended. Traffic volume shouldn't increase due to a
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Not Provided	B 5.8m	I think the bridge should be wider to accommodate the large farm machinery and a lane for walkers but not 2-lane!	The 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should provide adequate width to safely transport modern equipment over the bridge.
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Not Provided	B 5.8m	Implement Cultural istorical and heritage in the bridge using local artists	Historical Plaque will be installed at Blakeney Park as well as a photographic record of the existing bridge
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Not Provided	A 5.5m	Not Provided	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended. Traffic volume shouldn't increase due to a
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Not Provided	B 5.8m	Not Provided	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended. Traffic volume shouldn't increase due to a
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Not Provided	B 5.8m	This width should accommodate agricultural equipment while maintaining the heritage look/value of the bridge. A two-lane bridge will imact Panmure road which is already in need of signs	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended. Traffic volume shouldn't increase due to a
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Not Provided	B 5.8m	I am a blakeney resident and find the current traffic to be quite annoying. Too many vehicles including 4-wheelers, ski-doos trucks and racing cars with loud exhausts really ruin our enjoyment of our once quiet and peaceful community. I would	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended. Traffic volume shouldn't increase due to a
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	Not Provided	B 5.8m	As a resident of blakeney that lives very near the bridge, it is critical for us not to lose anymore of our quiet enjoyment of our village. Currently the amount + speed of traffic past our house, including snowmobiles and ATV's is very upsetting. 2-	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended. Traffic volume shouldn't increase due to a
PIC #1 Comment Sheet	P.Larlan	B 5.8m	I don't believe councilors have sufficient information to make an informed decision. Not enough environmental and community assessments have been completed. I.E one for the impacts of each option and related mitigation strategies.	Wider 7.9m bridge with 1.6m pedestrian walkway should increase pedestrian safety, a 40 Km/h speed limit will be recommended. Traffic volume shouldn't increase due to a